Healing the Vines: How Restorative Viticulture and International Partnerships Can Protect the Okanagan Wine Industry

Prepared by VineGuard Consulting

Executive Summary

In January 2024, the Okanagan Valley, Canada's most renowned wine region, experienced one of the most devastating cold events in its history. Temperatures plunged below –25°C, destroying buds, splitting trunks, and reducing grape yields by as much as 97 percent in some vineyards. The event underscored the vulnerability of the Okanagan's viticulture system to extreme weather and the urgent need for long-term resilience strategies (Jobin Poirier, Plummer, & Pickering, 2021).

This white paper presents a two-tiered solution: restorative viticulture, which strengthens vineyards from the soil up, and international partnerships, which provide economic continuity when local yields collapse. Drawing on evidence from leading viticulture and climate adaptation studies (Celette, Gaudin, & Gary, 2020; Hébert-Haché, Cottrell, Linder, & Stanley, 2021; Martínez-Lüscher, García-Esparza, & Gómez-Miguel, 2024; Konecny, Asatryan, & Binder, 2025), the paper outlines a scientifically supported roadmap to recovery for Okanagan wineries.

VineGuard Consulting proposes an integrated business model combining Restorative Viticulture Certification, Consulting & Risk Assessment, Implementation Services, and an International Partnership Network. Together, these create an ecosystem of ecological, economic, and operational resilience that ensures wineries remain productive, profitable, and reputable amid growing climate volatility.

1. The Challenge: Vine Death and Climate Instability in the Okanagan

For decades, the Okanagan Valley has been celebrated for its balance of warm summers and cool nights, ideal conditions for crafting premium wines. Yet, climate change is rewriting these patterns. Winters are becoming shorter but more erratic, with sharp drops in temperature following mild spells that disrupt vine dormancy (Albuquerque et al., 2023).

In January 2024, this pattern produced catastrophic results: vines exposed to an unseasonably warm December followed by an arctic freeze suffered widespread cambium damage and bud death. With replanting timelines stretching three to five years, the economic impact on wineries was immediate. Even with government support and relaxed grape-import rules, many producers now face lost vintages and declining brand equity (Jobin Poirier et al., 2021).

Cold events are not isolated anomalies; they are part of a larger pattern of climatic instability affecting wine regions worldwide. Grazia, Mazzocchi, Ruggeri, and Corsi (2023) found that global temperature fluctuations are reducing yield predictability and forcing wineries to rethink their production models. For the Okanagan, the challenge is particularly acute: most vineyards depend on genetically uniform clones planted on fragile soils with limited organic matter. This combination reduces resilience, amplifying the effects of temperature extremes.

Conventional frost-protection measures such as wind machines, heaters, or irrigation offer temporary relief but fail under extreme conditions. Once air temperatures drop below –20°C, intracellular ice forms within vine tissues, rupturing cell membranes and causing irreversible damage (Davenport, 2008). The resulting vine death cascades into economic instability, threatening the livelihoods of growers, workers, and entire communities reliant on wine tourism.

The Okanagan wine industry faces a defining challenge: to transition from reactive protection to proactive restoration, ensuring long-term survival in an era of climate uncertainty.

2. A Historical Perspective on Vineyard Resilience

Historically, vineyard resilience stemmed from human adaptation and ecological diversity. Early viticulturists in cool-climate regions selected slopes with natural air drainage, interplanted varieties to distribute risk, and delayed pruning to avoid premature budbreak (Davenport, 2008). These traditional practices promoted biodiversity and balanced microclimates, allowing vineyards to withstand environmental stress.

Over time, industrialization and mechanization transformed viticulture. Efficiency replaced diversity as uniform clones and standardized management became the norm. While this improved short-term yields, it eroded long-term resilience. As Konecny et al. (2025) explain, genetic uniformity limits vines' ability to express adaptive responses to stress, including the activation of cold-hardiness pathways.

The lessons of history are clear: resilience comes not from control but from complexity. The next generation of vineyard systems must restore ecological diversity, strengthen soil ecosystems, and harness the adaptive power of genetic variety.

3. The Problem: Deep Freezes and Financial Impact

Extreme cold events like the 2024 freeze trigger both biological and financial crises. Below –20°C, grapevine tissues undergo ice crystallization, rupturing internal cell membranes and killing buds, canes, and trunks. When this occurs repeatedly, even established vines lose their regenerative capacity and must be replaced (Davenport, 2008).

Replanting is costly and slow. Each lost acre can cost between $20,000 and $30,000 to replace, with three to five years before reaching full productivity. During this time, wineries lose contracts, shelf space, and customer loyalty (Jobin Poirier et al., 2021).

The biological vulnerability of vines is amplified by degraded soils. Celette et al. (2020) found that vineyards with low organic matter and compacted soils experience greater root-zone temperature fluctuations, accelerating freeze damage. At the same time, genetic uniformity increases systemic risk: Hébert-Haché et al. (2021) showed that clone–rootstock interactions significantly influence cold injury outcomes, meaning that monoclonal plantings are more likely to fail during temperature extremes.

In essence, the Okanagan's viticulture model based on uniform vines and reactive management has reached its ecological limit. Without transformation, future freezes could decimate the region's production capacity for decades.

4. Options for Managing Cold and Climate Risk

In response to these challenges, wineries often turn to conventional mitigation methods such as wind machines, heating systems, or overhead irrigation. These tools are designed to prevent frost formation or protect buds through controlled ice encapsulation (Davenport, 2008). Some producers also invest in crop insurance, relocate vineyards to warmer slopes, or use greenhouse-style coverings.

While these approaches offer partial protection, they are temporary and resource-intensive. Wind machines and heaters require significant energy, contribute to greenhouse gas emissions, and offer no protection when temperatures drop below critical thresholds. Insurance and relocation address financial loss but do nothing to rebuild soil or improve plant resilience.

As Jobin Poirier et al. (2021) argue, adaptation strategies in Canadian viticulture must go beyond short-term crisis management. Sustainable change requires transforming how vineyards function ecologically, rebuilding the biological foundations of resilience, rather than relying on mechanical or financial bandages.

This reality funnels the industry toward one clear conclusion: long-term survival demands long-term restoration.

5. The Solution: Restorative Viticulture

Restorative viticulture is an evidence-based, holistic approach that focuses on rebuilding vineyard ecosystems through soil regeneration, genetic diversity, and adaptive management. Rather than treating symptoms, it addresses the root causes of vulnerability by restoring the vineyard's natural balance.

At the genetic level, restorative viticulture leverages diversity to enhance resilience. Hébert-Haché et al. (2021) demonstrated that clone–rootstock combinations have a direct impact on cold tolerance, with certain pairings maintaining higher carbohydrate reserves that protect buds during freezes. Similarly, Konecny et al. (2025) found that genetic diversity allows grapevines to activate molecular pathways associated with stress resistance, improving survival under extreme temperatures.

Soil restoration forms the second pillar. Celette et al. (2020) showed that cover crops increase soil organic carbon and microbial biomass, which improves water retention and temperature buffering. Healthier soils

insulate roots during cold events, sustain beneficial organisms, and reduce erosion. Martínez-Lüscher et al. (2024) further highlight that regenerative soil practices such as minimal tillage, composting, and biodiversity corridors enhance overall vineyard productivity and resilience.

Restorative viticulture also integrates adaptive management techniques, including delayed pruning, mulching, and canopy adjustment to mitigate frost risk (Davenport, 2008). By combining ecological regeneration with data-driven monitoring of soil and vine conditions, growers create a living system capable of self-repair and adaptation.

The result is not just a sustainable vineyard, but a resilient ecosystem that supports consistent yields, lower costs, and long-term viability.

6. Addressing the Challenges of Implementation

Although restorative viticulture offers long-term ecological and financial advantages, many wineries hesitate to adopt it because of perceived risks, labor requirements, and uncertainty around short-term returns. Davenport (2008) observes that conventional frost-protection systems such as wind machines, heaters, and sprinklers remain popular because they are familiar, visible, and produce immediate results during freeze events. These tools provide psychological reassurance and operational predictability, particularly for small producers who must protect each harvest to remain profitable. Yet Davenport (2008) also emphasizes that such systems have clear limits: once temperatures fall beyond their range, vines are left unprotected, and the underlying soil health remains unaddressed.

By contrast, restorative viticulture relies on rebuilding natural vineyard resilience through soil enrichment, biodiversity, and vine–rootstock optimization. Celette et al. (2020) acknowledge that the benefits of cover crops and organic amendments emerge gradually over multiple seasons. This time lag can discourage producers facing tight margins and annual loan cycles. Similarly, Jobin Poirier et al. (2021) found that Canadian wineries often perceive sustainability transitions as risky because results are not immediately quantifiable and implementation requires technical knowledge and added labor. However, their research also shows that once established, these practices improve soil structure, microbial activity, and vine vigor reducing long-term vulnerability to climatic shocks.

Carroquino et al. (2020) further classify wineries that adopt climate-adaptation measures as more resilient and competitive. Their findings suggest that those integrating efficient irrigation, organic fertilization, and soil-cover management experience not only lower input costs but also enhanced market positioning. Grazia et al. (2023) support this claim, noting that global consumers increasingly associate environmental responsibility with brand quality. Therefore, integrating restorative viticulture is not simply an environmental choice but also a strategic response to shifting consumer expectations and regulatory pressures.

Nonetheless, the challenges are real. Restorative systems demand specialized training, seasonal monitoring, and collaboration between growers and researchers. Albuquerque et al. (2023) emphasize that regional coordination and shared learning are essential to overcome the technical and logistical barriers of

climate adaptation. Without collective frameworks, isolated wineries may struggle to measure progress or justify investment. Furthermore, Hébert-Haché et al. (2021) highlight that cold-climate vineyards must pair clones and rootstocks carefully; poor selections can negate the benefits of soil restoration by producing inconsistent bud survival.

Ultimately, the counterargument centers on short-term feasibility but the long-term costs of inaction are greater. Continued reliance on reactive systems treats the symptoms of cold stress without addressing its root causes. Carroquino et al. (2020) and Grazia et al. (2023) collectively argue that sustainability is becoming integral to competitiveness in the modern wine market. By viewing restorative viticulture as a strategic investment one that enhances resilience, reduces inputs, and strengthens brand credibility wineries can secure both environmental stability and financial longevity.

7. The Benefits of Restorative Viticulture

The advantages of restorative viticulture extend beyond environmental gains they directly impact yield stability, cost efficiency, and market value.

7.1 Improved Vine Survival

By diversifying rootstocks and clones, vineyards increase their tolerance to cold injury. Hébert-Haché et al. (2021) reported significant reductions in vine mortality when cold-hardy pairings were used, demonstrating the power of genetic diversity in climate adaptation.

7.2 Healthier Soils and Lower Input Costs

Cover crops and compost additions improve soil structure, moisture retention, and nutrient cycling (Celette et al., 2020). Healthier soils require fewer fertilizers and irrigation, reducing annual costs while increasing long-term fertility.

7.3 Economic Stability

Jobin Poirier et al. (2021) note that vineyards adopting sustainable practices achieve greater financial security by minimizing crop loss and stabilizing production. Restorative viticulture reduces replanting frequency and strengthens contract reliability, ensuring consistent revenue streams.

7.4 Market Advantage and Brand Value

Carroquino et al. (2020) found that environmentally certified wineries achieve stronger consumer loyalty and higher export demand. A “Restorative Vineyard Certified” label would position Okanagan producers as climate-resilient leaders in sustainable winemaking.

7.5 Regional and Global Impact

At scale, restorative viticulture contributes to carbon sequestration, biodiversity recovery, and regional climate mitigation (Martínez-Lüscher et al., 2024). These co-benefits enhance both environmental reputation and policy alignment.

8. Considerations When Examining a Solution

Before implementing restorative viticulture, wineries must assess several factors to ensure successful adoption.

8.1 Local Adaptation: Each vineyard's microclimate requires unique clone–rootstock pairings and tailored soil treatments to minimize freeze injury and optimize performance (Jobin Poirier et al., 2021). Understanding site-specific conditions such as slope, airflow, and soil texture is critical to choosing the right genetic and management strategies.

8.2 Soil Health Assessment: The success of restorative viticulture depends on the vineyard's baseline levels of soil organic matter and microbial activity, which determine its capacity for regeneration (Celette et al., 2020). Conducting comprehensive soil tests before implementation helps identify deficiencies and guide compost or cover crop selection.

8.3 Genetic Sourcing: Wineries should collaborate with nurseries that provide certified, cold-tolerant rootstocks proven to withstand winter injury (Hébert-Haché et al., 2021). Reliable sourcing reduces variability and ensures that planting material aligns with regional climate projections.

8.4 Monitoring Systems: Integrating real-time temperature and soil-moisture sensors enables data-driven decision-making and early response to stress indicators (Martínez-Lüscher et al., 2024). These systems also generate long-term datasets that help track vineyard adaptation and inform management adjustments.

8.5 Investment Planning: Although the transition to restorative viticulture involves significant upfront costs, these investments are offset over time by lower input requirements and greater vineyard resilience (Carroquino et al., 2020). Financial planning should include phased implementation and contingency budgeting for initial establishment years.

8.6 Knowledge Sharing: Regional collaboration accelerates learning by allowing wineries to share data, results, and best practices (Albuquerque et al., 2023). Cooperative initiatives strengthen collective resilience and support a broader cultural shift toward regenerative practices.

8.7 Certification Alignment: Aligning restorative efforts with third-party programs such as VineGuard Certification enhances both credibility and market recognition (Grazia et al., 2023). Certification also provides measurable benchmarks for environmental performance and accountability.

8.8 Long-Term Vision: Genetic and ecological adaptation occur over multiple growing seasons and require sustained commitment from producers and management teams (Konecny et al., 2025). Viewing restoration as a continuous process rather than a one-time project is essential for meaningful impact.

8.9 Policy Incentives: Coordinating with sustainability frameworks and regional agricultural policies can open access to grants, cost-share programs, and research partnerships (Carroquino et al., 2020). Public–private collaboration strengthens the overall feasibility of vineyard transition.

8.10 Cultural Commitment: Ultimately, restorative viticulture demands patience, observation, and a philosophical shift from yield maximization to long-term system health (Martínez-Lüscher et al., 2024).

Producers who embrace this mindset foster not only resilient vines but also enduring community and environmental benefits.

These considerations ensure that adoption is both practical and profitable.

9. Integrating International Partnerships

Even with restorative systems in place, climate extremes can still devastate harvests. To mitigate such risks, international partnerships provide a complementary strategy for continuity.

Carroquino et al. (2020) demonstrate that collaboration between wineries across regions enhances innovation and risk-sharing. Following this model, VineGuard Consulting proposes the creation of a Global Restorative Partnership Network a cooperative of certified vineyards in different climates that support each other through supply exchange, knowledge sharing, and sustainability standards.

In a freeze year, Okanagan wineries could source grapes from partner vineyards in warmer regions under pre-negotiated agreements, maintaining product consistency while local vineyards recover. In turn, Okanagan producers could share their expertise in cold-tolerance management with warmer-climate partners facing drought stress (Albuquerque et al., 2023).

Such partnerships also strengthen market presence. As Jobin Poirier et al. (2021) emphasize, collaborative adaptation increases resilience across the entire wine value chain. Grazia et al. (2023) further highlight that cross-border sustainability networks attract international recognition and consumer trust.

Together, restorative viticulture and global collaboration create a dual safety net, biological and economic that ensures the Okanagan wine industry can survive even the harshest climatic disruptions.

10. The Pitch: Why Choose VineGuard Consulting

VineGuard Consulting offers Okanagan wineries a complete resilience solution rooted in science, collaboration, and measurable outcomes.

10.1 Evidence-Based Certification

VineGuard's Restorative Viticulture Certification aligns directly with peer-reviewed research from Hébert-Haché et al. (2021) and Celette et al. (2020), ensuring every certified vineyard meets proven soil and genetic standards.

10.2 Consulting and Risk Assessment

Our team provides site-level diagnostics based on soil composition, temperature mapping, and clone–rootstock suitability, drawing from findings by Konecny et al. (2025) and Davenport (2008).

10.3 Implementation Services

VineGuard supports the practical rollout of cover crops, composting systems, and adaptive management strategies consistent with Martínez-Lüscher et al. (2024).

10.4 International Partnership Network

We facilitate connections with certified wineries worldwide to ensure business continuity and shared learning (Carroquino et al., 2020; Albuquerque et al., 2023).

VineGuard Consulting is more than a consultancy iit is a resilience ecosystem, bridging scientific research and real-world application to secure the future of cool-climate winemaking.

11. Conclusion and Call to Action

The 2024 cold event proved that traditional viticulture is no longer sustainable under modern climate pressures. It was more than a setback it was a warning. The sight of frozen vines and lost harvests reminded growers that the foundation of their craft is fragile, and that the time for temporary fixes has passed. The path forward demands a new mindset—one that prioritizes regeneration over reaction.

Restorative viticulture provides that transformation. Supported by years of environmental research and field studies, it strengthens soils, diversifies vines, and rebuilds ecosystems from the ground up. Studies by Celette et al. (2020) and Hébert-Haché et al. (2021) demonstrate that vineyards built on healthy soils and climate-adapted rootstocks endure temperature extremes more effectively and recover faster after freeze events. This evidence makes one thing clear: sustainability is not just an environmental responsibility it is a practical business strategy for long-term stability.

Guided by expertise, collaboration, and data-driven practice, VineGuard Consulting brings credibility and local understanding to every partnership. Its approach is grounded in science, strengthened by regional insight, and motivated by a commitment to protect both the craft and community that define the Okanagan's wine identity. Supported by international collaboration, it offers a blueprint not only for survival but for global leadership.

The Okanagan can become a model for climate-adaptive viticulture, inspiring other regions to follow its lead in ecological innovation and resilience. VineGuard Consulting invites wineries, growers, and policymakers to join this transformation. Together, we can protect the legacy of Okanagan wine for generations to come one restored vineyard at a time.

Contact:

VineGuard Consulting
info@vineguardconsulting.com
www.vineguardconsulting.com

References

Albuquerque, H., Lopes, H. S., Ramazanova, M., Vaz de Freitas, I., Remoaldo, P., Gardner, G., & Robinson, D. (2023). Wine landscape and climate change: The state of art. *Journal of Wine Research, 34*(4), 272–290. https://research.ebsco.com/c/amz5ui/viewer/pdf/vfruisictn

Carroquino, J., García-Casarejos, N., & Gargallo, P. (2020). Classification of Spanish wineries according to their adoption of measures against climate change. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 244,* 118874. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118874

Celette, F., Gaudin, R., & Gary, C. (2020). Cover crop for a sustainable viticulture: Effects on soil properties and table grape production. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 295,* 106891. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2020.106891

Davenport, J. R. (2008). How cold can you go? Frost and winter protection for grapevines in Washington State. *HortScience, 43*(7), 1966–1969. https://journals.ashs.org/hortsci/view/journals/hortsci/43/7/article-p1966.xml

Grazia, D., Mazzocchi, C., Ruggeri, G., & Corsi, S. (2023). Grapes, wines, and changing times: A bibliometric analysis of climate change influence. *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research, 29*(2), 243–257. https://research.ebsco.com/c/amz5ui/viewer/pdf/kz55mwvwmz

Hébert-Haché, C., Cottrell, T., Linder, C. R., & Stanley, C. E. (2021). Clone–rootstock interactions influence cold injury in cool-climate grape production. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture, 72*(2), 126–136. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/348057635_Clone_and_Rootstock_Interactions_Influence_the_Cold_Hardiness_of_Vitis_vinifera_cvs_Riesling_and_Sauvignon_blanc

Jobin Poirier, E., Plummer, R., & Pickering, G. (2021). Climate change adaptation in the Canadian wine industry: Strategies and drivers. *The Canadian Geographer / Le Géographe Canadien, 65*(3), 368–381. https://research.ebsco.com/c/amz5ui/viewer/pdf/yopvkdhmdj

Mérôt, A., Thiollet-Scholtus, M., Sladeczek, M., Hossard, L., & Smits, N. (2025). Long-term analysis of the link between practices and vineyard decline in relation to abiotic factors. *OENO One, 59*(1). https://doi.org/10.20870/oeno-one.2025.59.1.8285

O'Brien, F., Nesbitt, A., Sykes, R., & Kemp, B. (2025). Regenerative viticulture and climate change resilience. *OENO One, 59*(1). https://doi.org/10.20870/oeno-one.2025.59.1.8089

Villat, J., & Nicholas, K. A. (2024). Quantifying soil carbon sequestration from regenerative agricultural practices in crops and vineyards. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems, 7,* 1234108. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2023.1234108